

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/529,750	YAMADA, YASUHISA	
	Examiner JUSTIN KRAUSE	Art Unit 3656	

All Participants:(1) JUSTIN KRAUSE.**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) _____.

(2) Nelson Shapiro.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2009**Time:** 2:50 pm**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

*US Application 10/527,804***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Justin Krause/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3656

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner's amendment was made to clarify the language of claim 1, see attached examiner's amendment. Discussion of double patenting with regard to application 10/527,804 took place with the conclusion that there was no double patenting for the reasons stated in the Reasons for Allowance. .